

# WAFT IT

ASSORTED CONSPIRACIES, MUSINGS, WHIMSIES, AND LEARNING'S

[HOME PAGE](#)

- WAFT -

## 'WHAT WAS USED TO BLOW UP THE WTC'

It is pretty obvious that jet fuel hydrocarbons did not blow up the two WTC towers because none of the necessary footprints were present. However, footprints and signs of other alternate possibilities were in fact present.

Any argument presenting a point of view can be convincing but not conclusive. A similar argument from a different point of view can likewise be convincing but not conclusive. The two presented together to re-enforce each other can sometimes be all but conclusive. Two such follow here.

The first is an article is from:

[http://www.fourwinds10.com/siterun\\_data/government/fraud/911\\_attack/news.php?q=1229467809](http://www.fourwinds10.com/siterun_data/government/fraud/911_attack/news.php?q=1229467809)

December 14, 2008

1.

## WTC 9/11 EVIDENCE

- Seismic Record: the energy budget recorded in the seismic record on the day of 9/11 and the collapse of the buildings did not reflect the mass of building materials involved in the collapse, nor the nearly freefall of the collapsing buildings. The seismic record demonstrates an event on the scale of a quarry blast. WHERE WAS THE THUD?

- Kinetic Energy: two robust buildings with very robust steel beam reinforcement would release a sizeable amount of kinetic energy from the buildings falling – that would be all of the energy that went into the construction of the buildings. The release of that amount of kinetic energy is not reflected in the physical evidence on the seismic record. Into what physical process did that kinetic energy go?

- Molecular Dissociation: the collapse of the buildings produced the highest mass per volume of very fine particles (nanoparticles) ever measured in an air sample in the United States. It takes a tremendous amount of energy to dissociate or break the molecular bonds of steel, concrete and other building materials that were "powdered" into very fine particles during the collapse of the buildings. The largest mass per volume of METALS ever measured in an air sample in the US were reported by Dr. Thomas Cahill who did air monitoring for 5 months. Metal is used in buildings because it is very strong – and would require large amounts of energy to reduce it to nanoparticles. Chemical explosives do not release enough energy to

produce that volume of very fine particles. A much more energetic process was involved such as laser or beam energy which releases focused and concentrated energy as complex waveforms necessary to cause molecular dissociation.

- Physical Evidence of Buildings Collapsing: in videos of the collapses on 9/11 the WTC buildings erupted into emulsion like a drinking fountain, and the rubble did not hit the ground. Even on tape huge pieces of aluminum building siding vaporized as they were freefalling, and never hit the ground.

### **This was not all a controlled demolition!**

- Detritus Pile: should be 1/3 the height of the building The 100+ story buildings were about 1 story high when the collapse of the buildings ended. A fireman on one of the news videos said the antenna that had been on the top of B. 1 was on the top of a pile of rubble about one story high. The rubble pile should have been 35 stories if it was a conventional controlled demolition.

- Rubble Never Hit the Ground – this defies gravity, where did the rubble go?

- Footprints - Geometrically Round Holes: contiguous round holes 24' in diameter were in the footprints of the WTC buildings 1 and 2, and a 60' deep geometrically round hole was in the middle of Liberty Street near the WTC. This is evidence of beam weapons. There was NO debris inside the footprints of the two WTC buildings... only bare dirt with circles in the dirt.

- Livermore Nuclear Weapons Lab Beam Weapon Demo: in 1955 the microwave oven was invented and was a concept for a beam weapon. In the summer of 1990, I observed a demonstration of the Livermore Shiva laser facility in the middle of the night. A 16' diameter amber colored beam was shot straight up into the sky from the lab and all air traffic was diverted for a 5 mile radius during the demonstration. When I asked a grad student working at the laser facility what they were trying to do, he said "Make a star". I knew that could not be true because lasers are used to molecularly dissociate materials by releasing tremendous amounts of energy as very advanced and complex waveforms. Livermore had long been involved in development of HAARP since 1978 in secret collaboration with the Soviet Union, AND advanced beam weapons.

- Dust: in videos of the collapse larger particles fell and cascaded down from the buildings under the forces of gravity, but before they hit the ground they vaporized and suddenly went up into the atmosphere like an antigravity demonstration. Nanoparticles are so tiny that they are not subject to the forces of gravity, so molecular dissociation occurred on the larger particles as they were freefalling, reducing them into nano-particles (0.1 microns in diameter and smaller) which suddenly obeyed other physical laws – quantum mechanics. More evidence of HAARP/beam weapon technology applied during collapse.

- Satellite photos: showed dust going into upper atmosphere – which had to be nanoparticles and may have been enhanced by other technology because most very fine particles/dust would stay in the Troposphere and be rained out in 2 months as we know from depleted uranium particulate releases from battlefield already.

- 1400 Toasted Cars: located blocks from the WTC buildings, with door handles missing, engine blocks missing, blistering on some parts of the car finish, strange rust patterns on the bodies of the cars.

- Paper Around Cars Not Burned: whatever "vaporized" the engine blocks and the door handles on 1400 cars did not ignite fragile and flammable paper lying all over the ground around the cars. If engine blocks and door handles selectively vaporized, why didn't body of car vaporize?

- Pile of Cars Spontaneously Combusted: in a news video, the entire pile of cars started burning spontaneously at the same time with no visible cause, it was not a fire that started in

one car and spread to others.

- Rust Occurred Immediately: on cars and trucks, and in FEMA photos there was heavy rust on STEEL beams – steel does not rust, and it is a slow oxidation process that results in rusting of iron. This rusting happened immediately.

- Basements Of WTC Buildings Undamaged: stuffed mannequins in the basement with clothing on were carried out of the basement undamaged. If a 100+-story building collapsed into its basement and left a 35-story rubble pile, there would be nothing left in the basement. Even streetcars underground at the WTC were pulled out after the collapse and had no damage.

- Prof. Cahill Air Monitoring Samples: the hardest and most durable materials vaporized (steel, concrete etc), and the most fragile materials (paper) cascading out of windows and all over the ground for blocks were undamaged

- Truckloads of Potting Soil: right after the WTC disaster, the ground was "fuming", and sequential FEMA aerial photos show 130 dumptrucks FULL of soil (filled almost to the top of the dumptruck space) covered with tarps so that the dirt in the trucks was not visible to onlookers on the street, coming INTO the WTC area, dumping the soil and going out for more. This happened even before the rescues or cleanup started and it continued for some time. The piles of soil were left for a week and got higher each day in sequential photos. The soil "fuming" lasted until March 2002 (8 months).

- Where Were All the WTC Bodies?: Emergency Room MDs Dr. Tony Daher and Dr. Lincoln Cleaver were interviewed on TV on 9/11 about the casualties. They said there weren't any after about noon on 9/11, no more casualties came to the ER. Firemen saw NO BODIES but talked about the antenna on top of building 1 that was at ground level on top of about one story of rubble.

- Boots Disintegrated: on emergency responders after 2 hours. They had to get new boots every 2 hours molecular dissociation. It was not from burning, their skin would have been damaged.

- NO FIRES IN BUILDINGS: William Rodriguez, Senior Janitor at WTC said there were no fires in the buildings. He conducted rescue efforts, saved injured workers and had keys to every lock in both buildings.

- No Ground Fuming During Rain: For 99 days the "burning" (fuming) continued at the WTC site, but when it rained there was no fuming. If it had been fires burning, the rain would have caused steam from heating rainwater.

- USGS: Iron versus Steel: the USGS analyzed the mineral form of the rust on steel beams and iron objects at the WTC. They did not address the "steel does not rust" issue, but dodged it by referring to the rusted steel beams in the rubble pile as "iron beams" and gave mineral analyses of IRON minerals produced by oxidation.

- Official Sample Data Not Reliable: spectral absorption images at the WTC indicated average particle size was about 1 micron, which is subjected to gravity and would have fallen with the collapsed buildings. USGS did not collect many dust samples and collecting samples by other different agencies did not agree with each other. No samples were taken at the toasted cars.

- Beam Weapon Evidence: was present at the top of the WTC buildings as 'lathering up' started before buildings started coming down. 'Lathering up' in videos preceded the collapse of all buildings, even Building 7 which supposedly Larry Silverstein when he said "Pull it" to firefighters meant controlled demolition. Color alteration and modification in news videos compared to other photos/videos at tops of buildings indicates "doctoring" of images.

When the Seattle Dome was destroyed with controlled demolition, the dust created by the destruction did not get any higher than the top of the building. The WTC dust got into the upper atmosphere almost immediately – which indicates VERY TINY atmospheric dust sized particles (0.1 micron and smaller), in fact smaller than atmospheric dust which stays mainly in the Troposphere.

- "Lathering Up" Incriminating: Building 7 "lathered up" even before B. 2 went down. B7 not damaged at all by B. 2 going down – right next to each other.

- Freon tanks very odd, large tanks removed from WTC building and OSHA made bogus statement about what and why they were removed. Was freon used in takedown? A NYC cop I met with Cindy Sheehan said he was injured in B. 7 and removed on a gurney, but his eyes were covered so he could not see anything as they left the building. He reported he was able to see dead bodies lying all over the floor as he was being carried out – BEFORE building 7 had collapsed. Was this due to Freon asphyxiation put through ventilation system like in recent Russian submarine disaster?

- Minneapolis Bridge Collapse: similarities to WTC disaster, every bridge segment failed at exactly the same time instead of domino effect after first collapse. This defies the laws of engineering. It should have been one place failed and sequential domino-like failures followed for a normal engineering collapse.

911 Blog Forum Comment: We stand at the beginning of a new age. Our government has in its hands a method of disrupting the molecular basis for matter [HAARP/beam weapons], and its first impulse was to weaponize it. Is this so hard to understand? Like splitting atoms to create destruction was it hard to understand in 1945? [HAARP - the new and improved model]

Of course this new "invention" came when the United States ruled supreme. A weapon system of vast new power comes in time and we don't have an enemy worthy of it, so naturally we use it on ourselves, 'Wag the Dog'.

These arguments of themselves are indicative but not conclusive. But when added to the following they become quite persuasive.

## 2.

This second part was originally presented in Waftit on November 29, 2008 under the title 'What Blew Up the WTC'. The original presentation advocated the use of nukes, and mentioned the anomaly of a shaft of light which appeared for four seconds through the explosion cloud at the beginning of the explosion. In the context of the above, the shaft of light takes on a far more relevant significance.

In the images below, Figures 1 and 2 are photographs of two small underground nuclear blasts. They characteristically show an upward blast of material, plus debris off to the side like octopus arms.

Figures 3, 4, and 4 of the World Trade Center shows the exact same characteristic trademarks.

Similarly, radioactivity in air creates shades of brown. Figure 5 clearly shows the brown coloring of a nuclear blast in the updraft portion. Figures 6 and 7, from another angle shows the cloud beginning to form. If the blast had been of hydrocarbon origin, the color would have been between blackish and greyish depending on the amount of oxygen available. Whereas a nuclear blast does not need oxygen.

As Figures 3, 4, and 5 also clearly show, the expelled material is pulverized concrete dust and steel, similar to the pulverized dust in Figures 1 and 2. No other explosive in the Science annals can pulverise concrete or iron to dust except supposed high tech IMF devices.

Similarly, the upwards bursts in the pictures are not possible by a gravitational collapse for the obvious reason that everything gets blown outwards sideways by the collapsing weight and air

pressures over head. Or from the cutting charges used to help the collapse which are used horizontally.

**Figures 6 to 24 are screen captures of a four second gap out of the first few seconds of the blast showing what appears to be a shaft of light coming down from above. Which becomes briefly uncovered by the smoke then masked again. The time signature at the bottom right of every image shows the time frame.**

Also relevant in figures Figure 19 to 24, a quickly billowing darker smoke cloud starting at the base of the light shaft, which eventually masks over the light shaft, continues morphing into the brown smoke cloud seen clearly in Figure 5 and 25, where Figures 5 and 25 also show evidence of demolition blasts.

Also as clearly seen by referencing the bottom of the light shaft to the top of the first column at the left in the building in the foreground, the shaft moves from right to left.


Note also that in figs. 3, 4, and 5 there are no traces of the characteristic orange blooms of a kerosene blast

As sad as it may be, the truth is that which is so. The eye is no fool. These are barefaced visible proofs of the use of both a nuke and an EMF device and not of exploding kerosene which any eye can see.

The source of Figures 1 to 5 is website: <http://www.serendipity.li/wot/finn/5/soldier5.htm>

The source of Figures 6 to 26 is Youtube video: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gl3Qs0TQVQU>, starting at 1:38.

Figure 1



Storax Sedan 104 Kt shallow underground

Figure 2



Ess1.2 Kt

Figure 3



The towering explosion in Figure 3 above, and figures 4, 5, and 6 below from different angles, was officially declared to be the result of the remaining kerosene jet fuel exploding. Three things: first, kerosene doesn't explode it bursts into flame. Secondly, most of the kerosene was burned off in the first few seconds of the collision. Thirdly only a nuclear or micro nuclear device, and possibly Emf devises can produce the pulverized and powdered concrete which is the clear hallmark of the explosion.

Figure 4



Figure 5





Figure 6





Falling molten steel and white smoke at both the bottom and top at the very instant of the explosion also reveals the unmistakable use of Thermite. Kerosene at its hottest is under a thousand degrees, steel melts at thirty five hundred. The top of the tower had started to topple, then something blasted it into smithereens.

Figure 7



A sequence of Screen captures from a video taken during the same time frame, Figures 8 to 27 also shows a beam of light emerging through the smoke then becoming obscured again. The point of contact with the tower very clearly morphs into the dark red bloom at the top of the explosion in figure. The morphing of the heron beak plume to the top right of Figure 6 is also clearly visible in the captures.

Figure 8



Figure 9



Figure 10



Figure 11



Figure 12



Figure 13



Figure 14



Figure 15



Figure 16



Figure 17



Figure 18



Figure 19



Figure 20



Figure 21



Figure 22





Figure 23



Figure 24



Figure 25



Figure 26



Figure 27



My guess is that a nuclear device was used to gut the center column, Thermite was used to start the outer shell collapse, and some kind of EMF effect was used to help start and continue the collapse. Which is why signatures of all three are present. Most certainly the few remaining fumes of hydrocabons after an hour's

burning at low temperatures were not the cause.

Cliff Livingstone is a free lance writer often writing under the pen name of CliffR. He is also author of a hilarious six part memoirs miniseries called 'The CliffR Project', which is presented with excerpts in website <http://www.cliffR.com>. He is also the producer of the Daily Humour website <http://www.wholelook.com>. At present, website [www.waftit.com](http://www.waftit.com) is a blog of mainly CliffR articles. Someday hopefully it will be converted into a public forum blog.

Wafted by - CliffR  
(Posted on February 9, 2009 - 10:15 am)

© CliffR Projections, Canada, 1998 -2009. All rights reserved.

Form a&o33